

Message

From: Prince, Ruth [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=5A11586323C64D109AAC63DA72D047DD-RPRINC02]
Sent: 4/10/2017 8:05:31 PM
To: Weissbart, Erich [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=e361d2f1f04641e49ca63c81a2e2f4ee-EWeissba]
Subject: RE: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

OK then I'm going to shoot for early September, based on my already scheduled workload. I have to have some kind of date for every item.

From: Weissbart, Erich
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 4:02 PM
To: Prince, Ruth <Prince.Ruth@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

No, but I have a call with them next week and I'll bring it up.

Erich Weissbart, P.G.
Land and Chemicals Division
USEPA Region III
701 Mapes Road
Fort Meade, MD 20755
(410) 305-2779
weissbart.erich@epa.gov

From: Prince, Ruth
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:58 PM
To: Weissbart, Erich <Weissbart.Erich@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

Got it. For the South Charleston ecological, do you have a ballpark when you would need the review?

Ruth

From: Weissbart, Erich
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:54 PM
To: Prince, Ruth <Prince.Ruth@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

I didn't know the reports were coming. Definitely lower priority than Institute. You don't have to review the second. I didn't know the titles when Luis asked me but feel free to return the Remedial Approach Report to Luis for the file.

Erich Weissbart, P.G.
Land and Chemicals Division
USEPA Region III
701 Mapes Road
Fort Meade, MD 20755

(410) 305-2779
weissbart.erich@epa.gov

From: Prince, Ruth
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:51 PM
To: Weissbart, Erich <Weissbart.Erich@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

Yup – I optimistically have them scheduled for April and May but I think that might be a bit foolish because they're pretty large.

Speaking of which, Luis just handed me 2 South Charleston reports – Ecological risk assessment of SVOCs and metals in groundwater, and Upper Mainland Remedial Approach report. I know I will have to review the first, but the second? And I assume this should be after the remaining Institute risk assessments?

Ruth

From: Weissbart, Erich
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:41 PM
To: Prince, Ruth <Prince.Ruth@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

Two down, two to go? FYI, I rejected the CMS (they called it a CMP) today. A few issues including the fact that the RFI/Risk Assessment was never approved, but other things too. Once you complete your reviews, however, I can put them on the clock – they are a permitted site, meaning we have much more authority than if they were just facility lead.

Erich Weissbart, P.G.
Land and Chemicals Division
USEPA Region III
701 Mapes Road
Fort Meade, MD 20755
(410) 305-2779
weissbart.erich@epa.gov

From: Prince, Ruth
Sent: Monday, April 10, 2017 3:19 PM
To: Weissbart, Erich <Weissbart.Erich@epa.gov>
Subject: UCC Institute Groundwater to surface water and sediment risk evaluation for metals report (February 2014)

Hi Erich – this report is acceptable except for the Appendix A, Laboratory Reports CD. The CD should be corrected to include the following:

The CD is missing laboratory reports for:

1. Most of the Table 2 WWTU downgradient groundwater results.
2. All of the 2005 Main Chemical Plant Site sediment results in Table 5.

Ruth